WIKIPEDIA 25
25 years of the free encyclopedia

Wikipedia:Teahouse

Add topic

Latest comment: 11 minutes ago by Mikeycdiamond in topic Editing

Welcome to the Teahouse!

Your go-to place for friendly help with using and editing Wikipedia.

Ask a question (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Teahouse&action=edit&section=new&dtenable=1)

Question forum | Meet your hosts | Articles to improve

Can't edit this page? ; a volunteer will visit you there shortly!

New to Wikipedia? See our tutorial for new editors or introduction to contributing page. Note: Newer questions appear at the bottom of the Teahouse. Completed questions are archived within 2–3 days.

To read the newest questions, skip to bottom · About the Teahouse

Assistance for new editors unable to post here

The Teahouse is occasionally semi-protected, meaning the Teahouse pages cannot be edited by unregistered users (users with temporary accounts), as well as accounts that are not confirmed or autoconfirmed (accounts that are at least 4 days old with at least 10 edits on English Wikipedia).

This section is pinned and will not be automatically archived.

However, you can still get direct assistance on your talk page. ; a volunteer will reply to you there shortly.

There are currently 0 user(s) asking for help via the {{Help me}} template.

[**Teahouse volunteers:** If you have helped such a person, please don't forget to deactivate the request template.]

Help rewriting a declined band draft (AI text issue)

Latest comment: 10 hours ago | 7 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hello! My draft for the alternative rock band **Chaser Eight** was recently declined because the initial text was generated using ChatGPT. I completely understand why that text is unacceptable. I am a member of the band, so I have a Conflict of Interest (COI) and do not want to edit or rewrite the draft myself.

However, our band is legitimate and has notable national and regional press. Could a volunteer editor help throw away the AI text and write a short, neutral stub using our independent media coverage? Here are our four strongest secondary sources:

1. PopMatters Album Feature: popmatters.com
2. Huffington Post Feature: huffingtonpost.com
3. FOX61 Mohegan Sun Award Feature: fox61.com
4. Hartford Courant Feature: courant.com

Thank you for your time! Imjustaudra (talk) 02:07, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi Imjustaudra. You might have better luck finding someone to help you with this by posting a similar message at Wikipedia talk:WikiProject Musicians since that where Wikipedians with experience creating/editing articles about bands tend to hang out. It would also be a big help if you could provide more specific links to the reliable sources you believe are providing significant coverage of your band; just providing general links to websites and expecting someone to dig around to find what the site has written about your band might be a bit of stretch when asking for help. You might also want to take a look at the essay Wikipedia:No one cares about your garage band since you might find what's written there to be helpful. The last part of that essay, in particular, contains some information you might find helpful. -- Marchjuly (talk) 02:20, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you. Appreciate the help. Imjustaudra (talk) 15:49, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Actual links:
> >
> > 1. PopMatters Album Feature: https://www.popmatters.com/190645-chaser-eight-chaser-eight-album-stream-premiere-2495560482.html
> > 2. Huffington Post Feature: https://www.huffpost.com/entry/chats-with-3-doors-downs-chris-henderson-and-the-swords_b_56b3badae4b0aa19e2c75aab
> > 3. FOX61 Mohegan Sun Award Feature: https://www.fox61.com/article/news/local/new-london-county/chaser-eight-wins-mohegan-suns-2024-locals-live-competition-at-wolf-den/520-27b09b1b-4777-439c-bae2-3546fb353130
> > 4. Hartford Courant Feature: https://www.courant.com/2022/08/15/a-day-of-music-beer-art-and-food-at-manchesters-silk-city-fest/

Imjustaudra (talk) 16:03, 26 August 2026 ()UTC)

> This is just my personal opinion Imjustaudra, but I don't think sources you've linked to above are the kinds of things that are going to help establish your band's Wikipedia notability per WP:NBAND.
>
> Source #1 is more of an interview with you about the *Chaser Eight* album than it is any type of critical review of the album or the band; in other words, it's more fluff than not. In general, WP:INTERVIEWS aren't really helpful in establishing something's Wikipedia notability because they are things/people talking about themselves. An interview might be OK to cite for certai types of article content, but it doesn't really help when it comes to Wikipedia notability.
>
> Source #2 is nothing but a picture of cover art of your band's *Step into the Light* album's cover art, a embedded YouTube video from the album and a quote from you (Audra) about how the album (?) is a "salute to The Black Keys and 'Lonely Boy'". I don't mean to be blunt, but this kind of thing would still be pretty much useless in terms of establishing Wikipedia notability even if it posted in a major publication like *Rolling Stone* because it's basically you talking about the band and is essentially a WP:PROMOTION type of thing.
>
> Source #3 is about the band winning some kind of local award. While this source is better than #1 and #2, being crowned "Local Live Champion" by a Mohegan Sun, a local casino, in a competition of twelve bands isn't really the kind of thing that's going to scream out this band is clearly Wikipedia notable; it possibly could be cited for a sentence or two about your band winning the award, but doesn't really do anything to help establish your band's Wikipedia notability.
>
> I can't see source #4 because it's behind a paywall and, therefore, can't provide any assessment of it.
>
> I don't mean rain on your parade or criticize your band ; you guys probably make some great music that your fans really like. However, just to keep things Wikipedia real, I don't think any Wikiepdia article created about your band (regardless of who created it) would have any chance of surving a Wikipedia:Articles for deletion nomination if it was pretty much only based on the three sources I could access. Whether things will change some day nobody can really say for sure, I guess; right now, though, you might be better with something like Wikipedia:Alternative outlets if you're looking to create something that you can edit like Wikipedia. -- Marchjuly (talk) 05:11, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Ok, thank you. I mean, I have way more articles than the 4 I listed. Can you tell me how a page like this is allowed, but mine wouldn't be? Again, I have way more articles just like the one this band uses: The Smyrk ~2026-46876-24 (talk) 21:51, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Ok, thank you. I mean, I have way more articles than the 4 I listed. Can you tell me how a page like this is allowed, but mine wouldn't be? Again, I have way more articles just like the one this band uses: The Smyrk Imjustaudra (talk) 11:52, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Wikipedia:OTHERSTUFFEXISTS is probably the best explanation. Basically that saying "Look! I found a crap article - why can't mine also be crap?" is not a good way to do things. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 07:22, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Daniel Marx (musician)

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello dear Wikipedia community,

I'm writing as my draft "Draft:Daniel Marx (musician)" was rejected for AI-generated content and probably also, because this was my first try at Wikipedia and I didn't do a good job understanding how it works. I have read the articles sent by the reviewers and now believe to know why the draft got rejected. In any case, I have reliable and independent coverage e.g. in Classical Guitar Magazine, MusicWeb International, Resmusica, Süddeutsche Zeitung and multiple releases with Naxos, the leading major label for classical music.

As suggested by the reviewers, I have now rewritten a much shorter and entirely new manual version in my sandbox, without any AI involved: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/User:Danielmarxguitar/sandbox

Could any admin please change the reject into a decline, so I can try and resubmit the clean version? I have also added the COI as requested by the community.

Thanks Danielmarxguitar (talk) 18:14, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

> Not clear how you would pass WP:NMUSICIAN? Theroadislong (talk) 18:17, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you for your comment!!
> >
> > The subject passes at least one of the required points from the list.
> >
> > E.g. 5. Has released two or more albums on a major record label or on one of the more important indie labels (i.e., an independent label with a history of more than a few years, and with a roster of performers, many of whom are independently notable).
> >
> > Subject has released two albums on Naxos, the worlds leading major label for classical music. And one on GENUIN classics, an independent label with many notable performers and a long established history ~2026-46247-11 (talk) 19:30, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Dear Theroadislong,
> >
> > please let me know what you think of the sandbox draft. It is a matter of fact that two major label releases and one indie release happened. There are multiple independent, reliable sources that prove it and speak about it in detail. I'd be happy to resubmit the clean version and take it from there. Danielmarxguitar (talk) 07:50, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Dear Theroadislong,
> >
> > I'll be specific and follow up with some sources as requested:
> >
> > Notability per WP:NMUSICIAN:
> >
> > 1. 1 multiple non-trivial coverage
> >
> > 1. Süddeutsche Zeitung: 7.12.2016 - article about me, not a listing [1], 22.5.2025 - article announcing concert [2], 6.6.2018 - article on debut album [3]
> >
> > 2. SBS Radio Australia: 28.2.2020 - Australian radio announcing concert tour [4]
> >
> > 3. Music Web International: 21.4.2026 - Naxos album review Munich Guitar Society Manuscripts [5], May 2022 - Naxos album review Telemann chamber music for Bassoon &

Guitar [6]

4. Classical Guitar Magazine: 2018 - album review The Italian Recital [7]

5. Resmusica: 27.12.2018 - album review The Italian Recital [8]

all of these are reliable, independent, secondary sources

5# releases on major label

1. 08/2025 - Naxos release Nr.8.551472 - The Munich Guitar Society Manuscripts [9]

2. 04/2022 - Naxos release Nr.8.551433 - Telemann Chamber Music for Bassoon & Guitar [10]

Naxos is considered as major label per WP:Music

All these sources are about myself and nobody else. I have declared COI. My sandbox draft features only these sources.

Could you please change Reject to Decline so I can submit the sandbox version and take it from there?

Thanks and all the best,

Daniel Danielmarxguitar (talk) 23:11, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

---

clanlawren in breadalbane

Latest comment: 10 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hi all I am having difficulty with being declined, mainly from the citations. The subject is well documented in primary sources which are composed of mainly legal documents which apparently do not qualify as sources in Wikipedia. The secondary sources are extremely scarce, in most cases there is only one. So as a result my drafts keep getting kicked back, The authors of the secondary sources are typically Phd. level historians or official clan historians. I'm kind of stuck. Just because there is not a lot of secondary sources does not mean that the subject is no important or that the scarcity equates with false or questionable information.

On the other hand and much to my dismay after examining other Scottish Clan pages, the use of secondary sources like Encyclopedias, that they themselves, do not cite primary sources is widespread. They have no clear evidence of a primary source, so fabrications and traditions written as actual history are typical.

For example on the page Scottish Clans, Scottish Clan & Family Encyclopedia by George Way of Plean, and Romilly Squire (1994), is used over thirty times. This encyclopedia references no sources whatsoever. The same holds true for The Tartans of the Clans and Families of Scotland by Learney, no primary sources whatsoever. Yet these are the go to secondary sources for the Scottish Clan category. Pageturner3 (talk) 18:18, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @Pageturner3. Do you have a specific question? This seems to be more of a statement.

In addition, a relevant link for others answering this question: Wikipedia:Teahouse/Questions/Archive 1303#Unidentified User

Cheers, shadestar (talk) (any/all) In solidarity. 05:45, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Lack of secondary sources cannot be bypassed. If they are scarce, there are only two solutions: find them, or give up. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 07:29, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Shutdown happened?

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 8 comments | 5 people in discussion

Were Wiki servers down today for a couple of hours or is it just me? Gigman (talk) 21:24, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

It was intermittently inaccessible for a few hours but has been resolved. Here's the status monitoring page [11]. signed, ***Rosguill*** *talk* 21:26, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks. Any idea why? Gigman (talk) 21:50, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

My understanding was due to news of Dolly Parton's death and the massive number of edits and requests to that article. GGOTCC 15:45, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

A touch of humor amid tears …

Does anyone know whether anything like this has ever happened in Wikipedia's history? Augnablik (talk) 17:55, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Great question! The phenomenon is known as the Michael Jackson effect. You can see how his death caused Wikipedia and AOL to crash at Death of Michael Jackson#Media coverage and internet activity. Interestingly, the death of Queen Elizabeth II did not cause this effect. GGOTCC 18:07, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Do you have a source for that? I mean it's reasonable to suspect there's a connection there, but is it a guess or is there some (semi-)official statement somewhere giving that explanation? — PeterCooperJr (talk) 18:22, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I was in the Wikimedia Discord VC when servers were down, and we established a connection due to the metawiki communiques and error rates that spike right after her death. We will see if Wikimedia releases a statement on the issue. GGOTCC 18:36, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

"Leave Russia" and controversy sections

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

Is being listed as a company in "Leave Russia" and similar 'single-sourced lists' itself enough for writing it into the Controversy section?

Long title, but it is what I want to say.

Recently came across KidZania and saw its Controversy section with how it is listed in Leave Russia. I wonder is this a sufficient source of notability for including this?

Leave Russia seems to be a privately (as opposed to the International Sponsors of War, which has a national-level background) compiled list. And the only source is first-hand, primary sources. So, what is Wikipedia's current standards on this?

See also Category:Blacklisting, which includes other such lists. Aki Shizuha (Talk) 01:12, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> I looked at it myself after reading this and felt the substantiation far too thin to support that content, nor was able to find additional sourcing that would strengthen it. So I removed the section, and started a discussion on the Talk page for the article about this issues. In most situations, I would suggest following WP:BRD, but since you are not an extended-confirmed editor, make sure to keep discussions on the Russia-Ukraine issues to talk pages for now (see WP:RUSUKR). CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 05:56, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Understood. This is not merely RUSUKR though. Any single sourced list (such as Beall's List in academia) might fall for this problem. Aki Shizuha (Talk) 16:03, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Yes, but the RUSUKR issue is a huge one to note because it's a contentious topic on Wikipedia with a specific restriction on extended-confirmed editors. I'm reminding you to not directly edit this article so that you don't unintentionally run afoul of the restriction. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 00:51, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > Okay. Understood. So how can I split out the RUSUKR reference and bring the actual problem (artificially compiled single source listing) to be discussed? Or, where (if I am allowed to, of course!) can I do so? Aki Shizuha (Talk) 01:18, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Title shortened, as a courtesy to readers. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:03, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Draft:Gernot Güntherodt

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 4 comments | 4 people in discussion

> Draft:Gernot Güntherodt

Could someone retrieve the original (marginal) version of my english Wiki page (above the present draft page), most likely of 24 November 2025 ?

GernGün GernGün (talk) 11:47, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello, @GernGün, and welcome to the Teahouse.
>
> I'm not sure what you're asking - that Draft was only created in June this year, so it sounds as if you are referring to a previous version which has been deleted. But I can't find a record of either Gernot Güntherodt or Draft:Gernot Güntherodt having been previously deleted. ColinFine (talk) 13:40, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Hello, @ ColinFine (talk), I am refering to a very short en.wikipedia which somebody unknown to me had set up way before the draft of June 2026; I had started expanding that early version, but would like to return to it. Is it possible to retrieve this? GernGün ~2026-46376-25 (talk) 18:23, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-46376-25, conformably to what @ColinFine said, I can't find traces of any articles by that name that have been deleted on the English Wikipedia (see deletion log searches: [12] and [13]; as well as the related Wikidata item). The article does exist on the German Wikipedia however, and hasn't been deleted.

Perhaps you're mentioning his brother Hans-Joachim instead, but the situation is the same. Deletion log searches: [14] and [15]; Wikidata item; and German Wikipedia article.

Hopefully this helps! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 03:49, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Michel Moatti translations

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 9 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hi I would like to help my friend Michel Moatti and translate in English his French page fr:Michel Moatti

I need some guidance on how to do it Three links/pages in English already exist. Back to Whitechapel Walter Dew Jack the Ripper in fiction

Many thanks

MDG MDG Europe (talk) 15:59, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Please see WP:TRANSLATE. 331dot (talk) 16:37, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> See also WP:COI. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:59, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Hello, @MDG Europe.
>
> If you are sure that a sufficient number of the sources cited in fr:Michel Moatti meet all the conditions in WP:42, and so establish that he meets English Wikipedia's criteria for notability, then it will probably be worth translating the article (observing the requirements for attribution in the link 331dot has given you).
>
> But I see that the rather short section "Biographie" has only one citation (which does not seem to say very much about Moatti), and the bulk of the article is a list of works, with one or more citations for each. If some of those citations contain significant independent material about the author (not just about the work) then they may be useful for establishing notability.
>
> If not, then a direct translation of this article will effectively be written backwards, and you will probably have to do more work in finding better sources and rewriting the article from them than the work of translating in the first place.
>
> A Wikipedia article should be a neutral summary of what the majority of people who are wholly unconnected with the subject have independently chosen to publish about the subject in reliable publications, (see Golden rule) and not much else. What you know (or anybody else knows) about the subject is not relevant except where it can be verified from a reliable published source. ColinFine (talk) 17:06, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks. Bear in mind that I am a full beginner on these.

One of the issues is that all the references, of which there is a lot on his French page, are unfortunately in French and therefore I can't use to generate his English page.

Your help would be greatly appreciated. MDG Europe (talk) 17:36, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Non-English sources can be used (so long as you can read and verify what they claim). Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 18:25, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Hello, @MDG Europe. Unfortunately, translation is not usually any easier for beginners than writing an article from scratch, because you need an understanding of the requirements on sourcing, either to check the existing article, or to find sources if there are not adequate ones there. As Andy says, non-English sources may be used, if there are not good English sources.
>
> My earnest advice to new editors is to not even *think* about trying to create an article until you have spent several weeks - at least - learning about how Wikipedia works by making improvements to existing articles. Once you have understood core policies such as verifiability, neutral point of view, reliable, independent sources, and notability, and experienced how we handle disagreements with other editors (the Bold, Revert, Discuss cycle), then you might be ready to read your first article carefully, and try creating a draft. If you don't follow this advice but try to create an article without this preparation, you are likely to have a frustrating and disappointing experience with Wikipedia. ColinFine (talk) 20:03, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks for your help, the frustration and the disappointment have already started :).
> >
> > Not that it would probably make any kind of difference, but in term of translations I have more than 35 years of experience.
> >
> > Do you believe it should be easier if M.Moatti generates that English page? MDG Europe (talk) 10:45, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > No, it would be even harder; it's close to impossible to write an autobiography, or at least to successfully do so. See the autobiography policy. 331dot (talk) 10:47, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Lead image of Gary Glitter needs to be replaced

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 13 comments | 10 people in discussion

LTA block evasion

I'd like to find a WP contributor who can translate English into French

Latest comment: 5 hours ago | 5 comments | 5 people in discussion

I've discovered some French interest in certain topic areas that are well represented in English. If I were fluently bilingual, I'd try translating some English-language WP articles myself. But I'm not.

Can anyone suggest a contributor who can and might like to do some translation? Thanks.Joel Russ (talk) 19:23, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello, @Joel Russ.
>
> You can find a list of French-speaking editors at WP:Local embassy#French. ColinFine (talk) 20:04, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Also at fr:Wikipédia:Ambassade#[en] English / anglais on the French Wikipedia. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 21:10, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > We also have Wikipedia:Translation/French/Translators, but these will probably want to work in translating French content to English. Lectonar (talk) 09:33, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> I do speak French, but I'm not a prolific writer (yet). Glad to lend a hand when I can! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 13:01, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

How do i request for a page to be moved

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 5 comments | 2 people in discussion

Ive tried to read and look at WP:move but I am still confused can someone help? if anyone is curious im trying to get Lago Vista TX - Rusty Allen Airport turned to just Rusty Allen Airport (which dosent exist) ~2026-46424-75 (talk) 21:20, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> @~2026-46424-75 you can't move it unless you are a registered user with 4+ days odd experience and 10+ edits. I'll do it for you. In solidarity, **Dafootballguy** (**Want to talk?**) 22:37, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > ✓ **Done**. In solidarity, **Dafootballguy** (**Want to talk?**) 22:38, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > thank you! ~2026-46424-75 (talk) 23:07, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @~2026-46424-75 you're welcome. You may want to create an account. In solidarity, **Dafootballguy** (**Want to talk?**) 23:14, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Mixing ref and sfn a cause for Good Article Nomination failure?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 4 comments | 4 people in discussion

I don't see this topic covered in the Good article criteria, so I want to make sure before nominating a 3000+ word article (still quite a way to go) that it will not be rejected because of this. If it will be, I will just have to put the time to do the required wikicode cleanup.

As I understand it, mixing <ref> with {{sfn}} is OK, as the {{cite book}} targets in the References section should be reserved for sources that are important references for the topic, letting the <ref> citations to show in the Citations section (using "name=" for repeats).

Am I correct in my understanding of the {{sfn}} vs <ref> usage?

I have studied the documentation on these two methods but it doesn't mention their impact in the GAN process.
JP Jp1008 (talk) 00:15, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> I think WP:What_the_Good_article_criteria_are_not#(2)_Factually_accurate_and_verifiable covers this off, specifically under "Mistakes to avoid": *Requiring consistently formatted, complete bibliographic citations. **If you are able to figure out what the source is, that's a good enough citation for GA.** In solidarity, nil nz 00:23, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Per Nil NZ, it shouldn't be a barrier for GAN. Figuring out what the source is generally means eg. page numbers for longer sources. It is FAC where you'll get dinged for mixed formatting. CMD (talk) 00:50, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> It certainly does not help with the GA review if the implementation of either <ref> or {{sfn}} templates generate errors. These errors need to be addressed as part of compliance to Manual of Style. The choice between the two templates should *not* matter at GAN, but it certainly helps the editor developing long articles to use {{sfn}} because it helps to segregate the reference information from the prose. A.Cython (talk) 01:03, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

On merging

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 10 comments | 5 people in discussion

Hi,
Normally when I come here I'm answering others' questions - it feels a bit unusual!
I just made my first merge - merging Tatla Lake, a stub, into Tatla Lake, British Columbia. Since the articles are rarely edited and seem quite stable, I estimated that I could go ahead and perform the merge. It's all done but now I'm fretting that I should have brought it to AfD instead to seek consensus. Is it OK that I merged the articles myself? Thanks! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 01:19, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> It is okay to WP:BOLDMERGE. CMD (talk) 01:29, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you CMD! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 02:31, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Seems reasonable to me. If someone disagrees, they can always revert and you can then discuss. — PeterCooperJr (talk) 14:58, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Sounds good! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 21:46, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

what station were you? How did you lose the war? ~2026-46450-50 (talk) 02:23, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> I don't understand what you mean. This is not a relevant response. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 02:32, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> @Giovanni Guasconti:, I am not sure that the merge was a good idea. Tatla Lake was about a lake, and Tatla Lake, British Columbia is about a populated place (with the same name). A lot of the information was in the lake's infobox, which was not copied over.
>
> I say I am not sure because I don't know much about lakes articles. If the articles are merged I would suggest creating a subsection for the lake and targetting the redirect to it , e.g. #REDIRECT [[Tatla Lake, British Columbia#The lake]]. Also I would put the redirect, rather than

the populated place article, into the lake categories. TSventon (talk) 19:33, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I think that's a great idea! Thank you Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 21:49, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@TSventon, I applied your suggestions. Thank you! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 00:11, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

---

who is frost

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

bcus i wanna know ~2026-46709-77 (talk) 09:28, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

So many frosts, see Frost (disambiguation). Lectonar (talk) 09:30, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Tortello, wrong redirect

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 1 comment | 1 person in discussion

Hi, could someone change the Tortello redirect to point to Tortelli and add a hatnote pointing to Pedro Tortello? ~2026-46801-53 (talk) 10:23, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

IP user's language

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Check out this talk page section: [16]. The temporary user here is clearly aggressive and using profanity in their last message. While I have been on Wikipedia for a while and know to be civil, the user is going rogue for what reason? I wonder if there is a way to report this? It is fine even if there is not. Babin Mew (*talk*) 10:54, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

The TA became increasingly agitated, and in their latest comment went over a line. I've given them a break from editing, during which time I hope they reflect on how best to conduct themselves. -- Hoary (talk)WWU 11:23, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

New Entry/new to Wikipedia

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

I am written a draft about a prominent medical doctor which is current the Secretary General of the Doctors of Union in Kenya. The reviewer says the person's is not eligible for entry. How can I improve this article (see below) Dr Davji Atellah

Bottom of Form

Extended content

TeoKE The main problem is that your draft does not comply with the policy on biographies of living people, as it does not have inline citations from reliable sources to back up all the facts. There is no need to repeat the draft here: you can improve it and re-submit from your sandbox, assuming that your sources also show how this person is notable in the way that Wikipedia defines that word. Mike Turnbull (talk) 11:12, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you, I will review again TeoKE (talk) 11:14, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @TeoKE, and welcome to the Teahouse.

My earnest advice to new editors is to not even *think* about trying to create an article until you have spent several weeks - at least - learning about how Wikipedia works by making improvements to existing articles. Once you have understood core policies such as verifiability, neutral point of view, reliable, independent sources, and notability, and experienced how we handle disagreements with other editors (the Bold, Revert, Discuss cycle), then you might be ready to read your first article carefully, and try creating a draft. If you don't follow this advice but try to create an article without this preparation, you are likely to have a frustrating and disappointing experience with Wikipedia. ColinFine (talk) 18:11, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks mate, this is very helpful advice. I created first article in 2014 then been away for a long time. I will do as you have said. ~2026-46680-04 (talk) 18:42, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Question

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 4 comments | 2 people in discussion

How can i improve a article score about a article? Xi3 Piston HelloImYourNeighbor (talk) 11:02, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@HelloImYourNeighbor By "article score", do you mean the assessment on its Talk Page (currently "start")? See WP:ASSESS and note that you are allowed to self-assess up to "B" rating. There is a tool called WP:RATER that can help. Mike Turnbull (talk) 11:18, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

yes the assessment score, how can i use Rater? HelloImYourNeighbor (talk) 11:30, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@HelloImYourNeighbor The link I provided has full details of how to install and use the tool. Mike Turnbull (talk) 10:17, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Using foul words

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

This user HistorianSemiAmateur just used an uncivil and foul word on their last edit on their talk page. How do I report them? *Jô*[hola] 11:48, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> I don't think this needs escalating yet - I have left a warning on their user page. **qcne** (talk) 12:05, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Articles

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

How can I find articles with a maintenance template? Bird10000 (talk) 13:19, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> There are probably other ways, too, but one approach would be to use some of the extra parameters one can use in the search box. (See H:PARAM for documentation on them all.) One of them is `hastemplate:`. So you can do a search for, say, `hastemplate:"More citations needed"` (try it out) to find all 427,129 articles (as of when I tried it) that use that template. And then you could add more criteria, like `hastemplate:"More citations needed" incategory:"Island countries"` (try it out) to limit to a specific category, just to give a random example. You could also add any other regular keywords you might be searching for the template on, like `hastemplate:"More citations needed" country` (try it out).
>
> Another approach is if you look at a maintenance template page itself (again, I'll just pick {{More citations needed}} as an example), they will often document categories that the template automatically adds an article to under "Auto-categorization". So you could then browse a maintenance category like Category:All articles needing additional references, or use that category within a search like `incategory:"All articles needing additional references" incategory:"Island countries"` (try it out).
>
> The search is pretty powerful once you're used to it, but definitely takes a bit to get the hang of how to translate what you're looking for into a search query that does it. I feel like I keep on learning new ways to make use of it. — PeterCooperJr (talk) 14:42, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks I'll try it Bird10000 (talk) 15:02, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @Bird10000 There's an already-completed list based on maintenance templates at WikiProject Cleanup Listings (https://bambots.brucemyers.com/cwb/index.html). This has the advantage that you can look at the relevant templates in topics that interest you and download the results to a local spreadsheet to refine the list into individual categories you plan to work on. Mike Turnbull (talk) 10:14, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Cyberbot Deleting my Article?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi! Posting my first piece, which I have completed in 3 publishing phases to save. Every time I publish, like yesterday, I come back to it and get the message :

This is an old revision of this page, as edited by Hannahmulligan49 (talk | contribs) at 22:01, 26 August 2026. The present address (URL) is a permanent link to this revision, which may differ significantly from the current revision.

(diff (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Sandbox&diff=prev&oldid=1371510009)) ← Previous revision (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Sandbox&direction=prev&oldid=1371510009) | Latest revision (diff (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Sandbox&diff=cur&oldid=1371510009)) | Newer revision → (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Sandbox&direction=next&oldid=1371510009) (diff (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Sandbox&diff=next&oldid=1371510009))"

When I go to the new 'current revision' it is gone. There is nothing there. What am I doing wrong? Hannahmulligan49 (talk) 13:29, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi, Hannahmulligan49, welcome to the Teahouse! What's happening here is that you're posting your work to the general public sandbox at Wikipedia:Sandbox; this is intended as a testing ground for anyone to try out editing, not for long-term content development, so Cyberbot regularly blanks it to keep it clean for new tests. What you're looking for is your own personal sandbox, which would be found at User:Hannahmulligan49/sandbox; you can copy the code over from the "old revision" with your stuff in it, and paste it into your personal sandbox, and it won't get cleared by Cyberbot. Cheers, Writ Keeper ⚇♔ 13:33, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

---

conflict resolution

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Courtesy link: Wikipedia talk:WikiProject Rivers § general structure of river templates

Hi, I'm having a problem with a user who, in my opinion, is interfering destructively with my contributions. Discussions on talk pages—which I started solely because of him—don't seem to be getting anywhere and are missing the actual point. Where can I go on Wikipedia for conflict resolution? Thank you. Si9mon (talk) 14:27, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> Please see dispute resolution. 331dot (talk) 14:34, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Unanswered talkpage questions

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 4 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi all,

At the Dutch Wikipedia, we try to find and answer questions in article talk pages. Despite the efforts of a number of Wikipedians, there is a massive backlog, so we consider a script to fill a tracking category, but before we start, we hope to learn from your experiences. Does the English Wikipedia have a procedure for open questions, or are there Wikipedians working on this?

Thank you in advance for your insights! →*bertux* 15:20, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> We use {{Help me}}, which applies a category and flags up the query at the top of *this* page. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:10, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Perhaps I should have been clearer. Some of the open questions are over five years old, so the first thing is to have an inventory and a triage system, splitting the heap into categories like relevant, too old, and unclear.
> >
> > We plan to run a script for tagging == headings == with only one contributor, but then the real work begins...
> >
> > Anyway, currently there is a somewhat lousy Dutch equivalent of *Help me*, a well-functioning Help desk, a good Feedback system and a highly appreciated mentoring system, so the main task is to handle the old stuff.
> >
> > Good for you if there is no experience of such a backlog. →*bertux* 21:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > If only! I just answered a 22-year-old question.
> > >
> > > I don't think we have a system like the one you envisage. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 21:13, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

When is an AI noticeboard discussion over?

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Courtesy link: Wikipedia:AI noticeboard/Archive 15 § User:LumenArchivorum

My case has been archived, what does that mean? LumenArchivorum (talk) 16:27, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> AINB is auto-archived after a certain period of time even if the case is not closed. While we try to go through the archives occasionally, it's very, very low priority.
>
> I recommend that you take the lessons from the discussion to heart, help with cleanup if you can, and otherwise consider the matter finished. An old archived case is not going to generate sanctions, or indeed get noticed. We look forward to seeing your future (human) work. **M kuhner** (talk) 05:12, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Is this a scam?

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

I got post on my talk page asking me to participate in research for something called WMDE Engineering. I'm suspicious because it is offering compensation. Here is a link to the post on my talk page.

User talk:Dillon Lowery 96#c-Johannes Richter (WMDE)-20260827182100-Participate in WMDE user research

Thoughts? Dillon Lowery 96 (talk) 18:40, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> It's *bona fide*.
>
> I've met Johannes in person and you can read more about him at meta:User:Johannes Richter (WMDE), where you will also see that he has a @wikimedia.de email address. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 20:10, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Value of Lists

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

I've been looking through {{edit COI}} requests a lot recently and there are many that want to append to an existing list of publications, credits, awards, etc. I am unsure how best to review these.

On the one hand the requested additions frequently do not meet a "strict" definition of significant independent coverage (e.g. for a book the only source is a link to the page of the publisher, or for an award the only source is an announcement by the organization that confers the award). I am also wary that WP:ISNOT a resume or "an indiscriminate collection of information".

On the other hand as user of the wiki I appreciate when lists are complete (e.g. I use Wikipedia a lot to follow along with TV shows) even when not every single item in the list is particularly noteworthy on its own (not every Law and Order episode is a gem, lol). I know I should be a bit cautious about interpreting the existing state of the wiki as prescription for how it *should* be, but it also seems like sections of this sort are pretty common.

My question for experienced editors then is how much consideration I should give to the value of having a list be complete or a statistic be the most recent (lots of companies who want their 2026 financial even if the only source discussing it is their tax return). Is it worth relaxing sourcing requirements so that a given list can be complete? Not talking about accepting unsourced additions in the name of completeness, but accepting only cursory coverage by potentially involved sources (like the book publisher example, they have a financial interest in the book they just published) so long as the claim is not contested or particularly outlandish. Packrat3860 (talk) 19:26, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> Primary sources are fully acceptable to confirm uncontroversial information (like finances). However, in such cases it's often worth taking a look at the rest of the sources in the article and

seeing whether the company in question even meets NCORP. There are a great number of company articles that fly under the radar containing nothing more than a bunch of WP:CORPTRIV which gets dutifully updated by some intern from time to time with the latest FY info but which no editor ever examines properly for notability.

The vast majority of the world's companies don't meet our requirements, after all. Athanelar (talk) 00:12, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

TRADUCCION

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

buenas noches: A veces encuentro páginas en ingles y después de traducidas con translator, al copiar la URL en mi listado, cuando la vuelve a llamar aparece de nuevo en inglés. Hay alguna forma de guardar la URL traducida. Muchas gracias Wikiguzmadera (talk) 21:09, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hola. Por favor, etiqueta tus comentarios en español con "in Spanish", "(ES)", "en español" etc. Es lo más educado en en-wiki. Gracias. **Grilledcheeseisgreat** 02:20, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

(en inglés - mi español es muy básico)

After translating a web page, click "Save As..." and it's saved to your computer's hard drive as a file. You can also use complex browser extensions, which takes more setup time but isn't as clunky.

If you want to read Spanish articles, you can also use Spanish wikipedia. **Grilledcheeseisgreat** 03:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Sir.Dr. Maha Rana Pratab Singh Puran

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 14 comments | 4 people in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

OP blocked for legal threats. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:53, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

This are the achivement track of Sir.Dr. Maha Rana Pratab Singh Puran,a humble businessman with good and great sense of International communication.

Promo

He hate time wasters and fraud, stay away, legal procedures will be fill against. Companies1000 (talk) 11:50, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Good for him. Did you have a question on Wikipedia? In solidarity, nil nz 11:54, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi @Companies1000. Do you actually have a question about editing Wikipedia? qcne (talk) 11:55, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

please can you publish that Page i have top much respect for that person. Thanks Companies1000 (talk) 11:56, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

No, I have marked it for deletion. qcne (talk) 11:57, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

why in because of people likes you people that website have Lost credibities, please provider your officerà email so We can discuss on that matter. You have no legal right tò deleted a publication Companies1000 (talk) 12:03, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

No thanks. qcne (talk) 12:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Wikipedia is a private website. You have no legal right to publish. In solidarity, nil nz 12:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

you are paid with out Money, when We visit your side go study the protocol of the provider server. Companies1000 (talk) 12:08, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

No one's paying us, we're volunteers. You're welcome to pay for your own website, on which you can promote Sir.Dr. Maha Rana Pratab Singh Puran. Wikipedia, however, is not that site. In solidarity, nil nz 12:11, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

you are paid by out Money when We visit your website for my face you are Just a full raccist.please provide your officerà email Companies1000 (talk) 12:14, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

If you believe any volunteer editors have made an egregious error in their decision making you are more than welcome to make a post to WP:Administrators' noticeboard/Incidents. qcne (talk) 12:16, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

You are mistaken about that last point. Also, please see WP:NOLEGALTHREATS. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

---

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Assignment

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

Discus the role of OSHA in regulating workplace safety into the petroleum industry ~2026-46753-44 (talk) 11:57, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

We cannot help with your homework. qcne (talk) 12:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

This is a help desk for using and editing Wikipedia, not a homework service. But you may find Occupational Safety and Health Administration useful. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to

Andy; Andy's edits; 12:04, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Draft edited with errors before review

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Courtesy link: Draft:Peter Lodwick

Hi again Teahouse,

I'm hoping someone can help me with this fairly urgently.

I have been working extensively on the draft article about Peter Lodwick, and over the last few days I have also found around 20 additional sources that I am currently going through. These include sources that I believe help establish Lodwick's notability as well as support much of the material I had included in the draft.

However, I have just seen that the draft has been substantially edited. A very large amount of the content I had written has been removed, and there are now also several factual errors or inaccuracies in the article.

It also appears that the draft has been submitted for another review. I do not want it to be reviewed in its current state, as I know that there are errors in this version and I have not yet had the opportunity to add and properly cite the new sources I have found.

What I would ideally like to do is restore the draft to the version from 25 August 2026, before these changes were made, and then continue working on it myself while I assess and add the new sources.

Could someone please advise me on the correct way to do this? Can I restore the 25 August revision, and is there a way for me to continue working on the draft in my sandbox without it being submitted for review again until I am ready?

I have put a considerable amount of work into sourcing and improving the article, so I would really like the opportunity to finish revising it before it goes through another review.

Thank you very much for any help or guidance. MaySundAnd (talk) 12:08, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @MaySundAnd. I have restored the 25 August 2026 version for you. You can do this yourself in the future by going to the page history, clicking into the individual revision, and pressing **restore this version**.
>
> Drafts are public so unfortunately there's nothing stopping a user from editing your draft, though it is usually bad form to edit another person's draft while they are still working on it.
>
> When you're ready to submit for review, click the blue **Resubmit** button. qcne (talk) 12:11, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you for speedy help! Much appreciated.
> >
> > Kind regards,
> >
> > May MaySundAnd (talk) 12:33, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

As a disinterested observer with no previous involvement in this matter (or interest in the subject, though I salute MaySundAnd's evident hard work), I am bemused and disturbed that a TA with no previous history should turn up out of the blue, revert without explanation 8,545 bytes of an actively worked on draft in one single edit (suggesting significant wiki-fu), submit it as a second edit (ditto), and then disappear again. Does this merit further investigation? {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} ~2026-43920-01 (talk) 20:35, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Am I able to post to the Main space without it being reviewed?

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

In my talk page (here) it says "* Since you have made at least 10 edits over more than four days, you can now create articles yourself without submitting a draft for review. However, you may continue submitting drafts to Articles for creation if you prefer." I'm not certain if that is correct, and even so, I've removed those articles and put them back in Draft (Needed more sourcing) and I think they're ready to be put back. TYSM Jlittlebigbeard (talk) 14:22, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello. Unless you have a history of having drafts accepted via AfC(I haven't checked), it is highly recommended to use the AfC process. If you simply place a draft in the encyclopedia yourself, it is assumed that you knew what you were doing and New Page Patrollers or others will view it more critically than they would as a draft, possibly even nominating it for a deletion discussion. If deleted via a discussion, it becomes harder to recreate it later. 331dot (talk) 15:12, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > TY Jlittlebigbeard (talk) 15:15, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> There are two types of reviews, which I think might be confusing things here. There's the formal AfC review process *before* a draft gets moved to mainspace; then there's the standard NPP that goes over all recent articles that have been added in mainspace. The former is recommended for newer editors, but not required once you're autoconfirmed. The latter will still happen until/unless you're granted autopatrolled status, which is a much higher right not obtained automatically. ChompyTheGogoat (talk) 15:36, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

How to make a new wikipedia article

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 6 comments | 4 people in discussion

hello, i am new to wikipedia and i am wondering how i can write a new article by myself. Sahbanda2 (talk) 15:16, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @Sahbanda2. I would first caution you against writing a new article until you have experience editing and improving existing articles! Writing a new article is the hardest task a new editor can do. We have some very complicated policies and guidelines.
>
> If you did want to try, please go to WP:Article Wizard to submit a new draft. It will then be reviewed by experienced editors. **qcne** (talk) 15:19, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I think i will get more experience first Sahbanda2 (talk) 15:30, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > I also really recommend WP:Everything you need to know which is a really good outline of our policies and guidelines. **qcne** (talk) 15:31, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

See also WP:Your first article. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 18:36, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi @Sahbanda2,

You could also try The Wikipedia Adventure! Happy editing :) GIOVANNI GUASCONTI (a word with me) 21:39, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Resolving a dispute and communicating

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hi all. I feel like I have not been very productive with RoboGobo and @~2026-38843-42's edits. They have been repeatedly inserting the air date of an episode not aired yet, first without a source, and then linking to a WP:UGC forum. I have been trying to inform them of that at User_talk:~2026-38843-42, but they just keep adding the claim back. We have been reverting each other for a few days now with no resolution.

What is the best way to go forward with this? It doesn't look like WP:RSN since the source is blatantly unreliable, and I don't know if WP:AIV can handle this since it is not obvious vandalism, and the user has been communicating. LkL-70547 (talk) 15:46, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> You probably want WP:DRN for this. *—Leaf.Sheap* ⇖ /.°°.\ ⇗ (They•Them) 16:00, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I see DRN says The dispute must have been recently discussed extensively on a talk page (not just through edit summaries) to be eligible for help at DRN. The problem is that I am struggling to get them to engage in any kind of discussions. LkL-70547 (talk) 16:38, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > You can request page protection at WP:RPP. That will make it impossible for the temporary account user to edit the article and they will have to talk to you if they want to argue for inclusion. `;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)` 18:51, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Follow-up to Frank W. McCulloch

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

> Wikipedia:Teahouse/Questions/Archive_1300#Frank W. McCulloch

I have an addition to the subject heading above, but as a member of the family I cannot add it. Where should I post it to see if someone else finds it informative enough and well enough documented to add it in my stead? W. C. Slim (talk) 15:53, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello and welcome to the Teahouse. You may use the edit request process to propose edits to the article. Please also read about conflict of interest for some other information. 331dot (talk) 15:55, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

How to deal with tables with nested columns for visual editor

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi there, I notice Ich Komme by Erika Vikman didn't have the UK charting position (42) on the artist's page. I have tried to use the website visual editor but it messes up the table. Any advice on making sure it doesn't happen with nested columns like music charts? Eurofreak2000 (talk) 16:18, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi Eurofreak2000. I don't know exactly what you did in VisualEditor but it produced three source lines starting with `|–| rowspan=`.[17] There should be a newline before the second pipe. I think VisualEditor got confused because {{Non-album singles}} makes cell code. VisualEditor often works poorly or not at all in tables where some of the table code and not just cell content is generated by templates. In this case I think you can fix it by switching to the source editor on a pencil icon at the top right before saving, and manually insert the missing newlines. PrimeHunter (talk) 12:42, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Paolo Spinola

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi, could someone please create a disambiguation page for Paolo Spinola? Currently, it redirects to Paolo Spinola, 3rd Marquis of Los Balbases, but we also have an article on Paolo Spinola (director). Thanks in advance for the help. ~2026-46784-64 (talk) 16:24, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> We don't usually make a dab page for just two articles; I have added a hatnote to the Marquis' page. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 18:31, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

How to add userboxes to user page

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

I tried copying the wikitext from multiple userbox templates, (e.g. Wikipedia:Userboxes/Music/Bands/The Beatles) but when I copied that wikitext onto my userpage, the box refused to show up. pretty infuriating Dylanfan62 (talk) 16:44, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> i made a little photo from my user page to help illustrate how i did it. you gotta go to source code if youre not on it i think
>
> here (https://imgur.com/a/KkX5tzw) Kattykattyy (talk) 17:24, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > THANK YOU VRO 👏👏👏👏👏 Dylanfan62 (talk) 19:19, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

userboxes

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

does anyone know how to change the name of the little "Userboxes" at the top of the box with all of my userboxes in it?? (if anyone gets what i mean)

and does anyone know how to add like multiple sections for userboxes? on the source editor

uh heres my user page that shows the userbox thing Kattykattyy (talk) 17:18, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> I don't know the answer to your second question, but for the first I'd just open the page in Visual Editor, click the userbox table, press edit on the template content box, and then edit the Header (toptext) parameter. *In solidarity,* ΩmegaMantis (he/him) blather | spy on me 18:20, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > THANK YOU how did i not think of that ,,,,! Kattykattyy (talk) 19:09, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

What are editors actually expected to do with respect to archiving links?

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

This page is emphatic about using the IABot to archive sources after editing an article, but doesn't really explain how this works. It's stated elsewhere that the bot runs automatically on all articles, modulo some technical difficulties. Am I really expected to go to bot's page (https://iabot.wmcloud.org/index.php?page=runpages%7Cthe) (which asks for a load of permissions and agreement to ToS) and run it manually every time I make an edit? Adkbeckett (talk) 17:33, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> No; doing so in entirely optional.
>
> Even if you do, it only makes sense to do so after adding a new external link or online source. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 18:25, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Wikipedia page for orbital racing

Latest comment: 15 hours ago | 4 comments | 4 people in discussion

I've noticed that there is no page that covers the idea of orbital racing. There are many names of things called "orbital racing" that doesn't actually cover the concept. The idea being that you race in a vehicle in orbits around gravity wells. How do I go about creating this without creating a conflict of interest given that I'm developing Slingshot Speeders, which may very well be the first orbital racing game using orbital mechanics to race with time being a factor and delta V usage. The page for "space race" doesn't cover this concept. Thanks for any help. If you need any other information please let me know, happy to talk about it. ~2026-47073-27 (talk) 18:57, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> If you're making the first game to feature this concept, chances are Wikipedia should not have an article about it yet. A Wikipedia article summarizes the reliable sources that are available

about a given topic. If you're making a new thing, there isn't going to be any literature about it yet, meaning Wikipedia can't have an article. See also: Wikipedia is not for things made up one day **;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 19:25, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

The series "The Expanse" touches the concept with a Belter slingshotting into the alien ring in Season 3.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Expanse_(TV_series)#Season_3

So there is literature about it, just not a lot. And also the page for gravity assist already exists which is a well understood and practices orbital mechanics maneuver.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_assist

In effect, what I'm building is the gamification of this concept into a racing game, which I've done extensive research on, making me firmly believe this is the first of its kind. So what would be the perspective moving forward? Is it simply that Wikipedia may indeed have a page for this once it becomes a better understood concept? Thank you for the reply, I'll drop the subject after I hear again as to avoid pushing the idea. Maslandtech (talk) 22:15, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

I'm going to oversimplify now, but it's a useful oversimplification:

Wikipedia is basically a history book. You are talking about something that *might* become *news* - but it isn't even news yet (I'm being literal, I mean there are no published news articles about it). And Wikipedia basically doesn't even do news, just history. Your idea may be a really good one, but Wikipedia is going to absolutely refuse to touch it, so you should find a more suitable place to put it. Some of Wikipedia's main reasoning about this can be found at Wikipedia:Too soon and Wikipedia:No original research. Also see Wikipedia:Alternative outlets for suggestions of what to do instead. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 02:23, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

House of Puso Article

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hello, I want to write an article on the internet psychological horror series "House of Puso" (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_xzdoDoNMTE&list=PLFCoH8yGAA8o) [also I know there is a way to add a footnote instead but I don't know what that is, I will make sure to research how to do it though if it is a Notable subject] by @gigglepriest on Youtube.com, I am unsure if it counts as a Notable subject. It does have a fandom.com page, so it may be counted as plagiarism? I have not written an article before so I honestly have no clue. Any help would be appreciated so much! Forgotten Moss (talk) 19:59, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

WP:Referencing for beginners will tell you how to add citations that show like footnotes.

WP:NTV is relevant for notability.

Plagiarism is copying, so please don't copy from the fandom page (which is also unsuitable as a reference, as it is user-generated).

WP:Your first article should also be helpful. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 20:39, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Help with accusations of sockpuppetry

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 18 comments | 4 people in discussion

The following discussion is closed. ***Please do not modify it.*** *Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Seems resolved. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:25, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, I have been dealing with an editor repeatedly accusing me of sockpuppetry today. I don't know if it would be considered canvassing to link the thread directly, so I am holding off for now, but the basic situation is that I have been in a disagreement about the inclusion of studies with two editors on a list article. Apparently there were issues with a large number of sockpuppets on that article before I arrived, and one of the two editors has repeatedly implied that I am a sockpuppet too instead of responding to my arguments. I told them that they should either report me or stop accusing me, but they have continued.

To be clear, this is my first account (probably, I *might* have had an account as a kid >10 years ago, but I can't remember for sure). I don't really know how to prove that though. I know what the Incidents board is, but I don't think this conflict rises to that level. What would you recommend I do in this scenario? FrimaireFroid (talk) 20:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Please follow the process outlined at WP:DR. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 20:13, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Okay, so basically I disengage from the talk page discussion for now, try to discuss the accusations with them on their talk page, and if that does not resolve it the next step in the Incidents board? I will try it out. Thank you for the link. FrimaireFroid (talk) 20:24, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > I would like to state that I am the account he is referring to, and my reason for suspecting him to be a sock puppet is that he edits from the same POV as a sock account that has been abusing the Human height by country wikipedia page for 5 plus years, with 38 sock puppet accounts found so far, 34 confirmed by check user, and 4 unconfirmed due to use of a VPN. User:Prim96, is the user in question. This user also first began commenting on the human height page the day after the sock master was caught with 2 or 3 new socks, which were banned, acting very abusive on the page to myself and another user, wanting to edit with the same POV as those accounts. I apologize if I jumped the gun too soon, but I feel the full context needs to be presented that this has been an ongoing problem on the exact page going back at least 5 years. On an additionally note, if you were to have the time to look through the link of the user I presented as the sock master and their accounts, you will notice that this user also vehemently denied every accusation of being a dock puppet every single time the accusation was brought forth. Since this is not a formal hearing I will not present the diffs, but if necessary I could look through and find them. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 20:26, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > You should take this—and the diffs—to WP:Sockpuppet investigations. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 20:34, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

If I remember correctly, I believe I have reported said user for being a sock puppet, and the moderator said something along the lines of that they would wait on it for a few days to see if the account did anything specific they could tie it to the sock master, that the evidence presented was not nothing, and it appeared like it could be the sock master, but that we would need more of a smoking gun. I have reported dozens of the sock masters accounts and most have been found to be socks and banned, so I would say I have a good track record and I don't make accusations based on disagreements or anything like that. However, I feel the evidence right now is primarily circumstantial, so I will hold off on it, but I apologize if I publicly accused too soon, if this goes against community guidelines. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 20:38, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

FrimaireFroid is not mentioned on any SPI page. [18] **;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 20:44, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Wikipedia:Sockpuppet investigations/Prim96/Archive#07 January 2026 is the only SPI opened by Quantum Mechanical Engineer. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 21:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

That is incorrect, I have brought about several of them, but not against that specific account actually, that was an account that was found by a moderator later. If you scroll down on the actual page you linked you will see that I brought cases against user:Adizero 26, user:9metres, user:271rpm, user:PenultimateStride, user:Prime1996, user:Beamon890, user:Cola172657, and User:Larnerpt. I believe that I had believe that I had User:FrimaireFroid confused with LouisBStevenson, which, after looking through the logs, I believe was the user I referred to earlier so I apologize. But I have not only brought one case. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 21:14, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Apologies; I was thinking there would be a new page for each. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 21:17, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

No worries, I just wanted to list those so you would know I wasn't lying. The heading of the page is just who was determined to be the sock master's account(which was an account that was older that was banned years ago that I never interacted with, a moderator found this account and it's socks to be connected to the ones I found myself), while all the accounts below are accused sock puppets, most of them I accused were found to be accurate(I listed all the ones above I found that were determined to be accurate), but 2, and of these two the moderator stated that there just wasn't enough evidence, but there was still evidence, it just needed to be something more concrete. At which point they gave it a few days to see if those users did anything more concrete, and then closed the case when nothing further

happened. I asked the moderator if it looked bad when I made those reports, and they said basically that it didn't because there was some circumstantial type evidence. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 21:28, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Does that show previous ones? This would have been months ago, it was in the spring of this year, not something recent. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 21:03, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Is there no way I can just request my account be checked against the sockmaster or something? I have worked on multiple pages with very little issue (only 1 reverted edit so far out of 165, and that was on Human height by country), and if I hadn't used the list of human heights by country as a reference for years before all of this, I would have already given up on the article. FrimaireFroid (talk) 20:49, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

You cannot request CheckUser on yourself. Quantum Mechanical Engineer needs to either actually make a proper, substantiated report (at which point CheckUser will be considered) or withdraw the accusations, see WP:ASPERSIONS. `;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)` 20:54, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Ok, then I will withdraw the accusation until the point that I can find further evidence to prove it, at which point I will not make an aspersion, but will file an actual report. I also apologize and acknowledge that I was wrong, I never had filed a report against this user, I had this user confused with a different one that I had filed a report on.Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 21:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Okay, thank you for the information! Sorry if I got a little heated at the end; I got a bit frustrated with the situation so I left to cool down. And again, thank both you and Andy for the help! FrimaireFroid (talk) 21:35, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

I also apologize for getting heated, I consider user:Walther16 a friend and had felt that you accused him of edit warring, and then mistakenly took you for a different account that I had reported in the past as a sock puppet. Quantum Mechanical Engineer (talk) 21:41, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

---

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Draft Article need help with citation and references for posting

the article

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

I have been drafting the article for the media house Draft:Vijaya Chronicle There is no news article published by any publisher as it is a news publisher. But there are many articles published on the founder and I have cited 2 of them. What do I do next? Dineshzaladekar (talk) 20:48, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> If you have no sources about the publication itself, there can be no article about it here. If you have sources about the founder, sources with critical analysis and commentary about them, they may merit an article. 331dot (talk) 20:53, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Hello, @Dineshzaladekar, and welcome to the Teahouse. In case it's not clear, in 331dot's reply: if you have sources with critical analysis and commentary about *the founder*, then an article about *the founder* may be possible, but that won't help establish notability for the publication. ColinFine (talk) 16:04, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

thanks for clarifying it. I have created an article on the founder as there were more articles published by independent sources and also moved the draft to article. please feel free to go through it once

Vijaya Chronicles and Ankith Reddy

Hellwigia (plant)

Latest comment: 23 hours ago | 5 comments | 5 people in discussion

hello. I still haven't seen the improvement about the Hellwigia plant Emmanbotan (talk) 01:53, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> For teahouse helpers, this seems to be related to Wikipedia:Teahouse/Questions/Archive_1303#New_plant_genus_Hellwigia. RudolfRed (talk) 02:13, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> @Emmanbotan,
>
> You should be bold and create the article yourself as per the advice of the editors who answered your questions previously. You could also request help with the article at the Biology Wikiproject talk page.
>
> *Courtesy pings: See previous discussion linked above (Mike Turnbull—Myceteae—ChompyTheGogoat)*
>
> *Thanks @RudolfRed for the info* Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 04:48, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I went ahead and created *Hellwigia (plant)* as a redirect to *Alpinia* as a jumping off point. ChompyTheGogoat (talk) 05:12, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > @Emmanbotan, you should go ahead and create the article. You can do so at the existing Hellwigia (plant) (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hellwigia_(plant)&redirect=no)

page. Happy editing! —**Myceteae** 🍄🟫 (talk) 18:20, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Waleed Khan academy

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Waleed Khan academy Japan cloth House (talk) 10:21, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @Japan cloth House, did you have a question about Wikipedia? In solidarity, nil [nz] 10:23, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Removing Quotes

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello! I have been editing Wikipedia for less than a week, and in a revise tone newcomer task I was directed to this page by BERT: Chris Cobb.

My concern is the 'Quotes' section. There are 12 quotes on the page and many of them are very long. I have been a casual Wikipedia user for many years, and I don't think I have seen anything quite like that. Notable living and dead people do not tend to have a quotes section on their articles - not even Mark Twain! - and that's what Wikiquote is for, isn't it? Am I okay to go ahead and remove some, or all, of these quotes?

Apologies for posting here rather than the article's talk page, there has not been a discussion on that page since 2013 so I worried that nobody would pick it up. I would also like to go ahead and tidy up the citations that have just been dumped in the body of the article - is that okay if I'm a new editor and it's not a task generated as a newcomer task? Mothprooffiend (talk) 11:55, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Sheesh, I just checked that page – please do!
>
> Newcomer tasks are just suggestions, and as this is the encyclopaedia that *anyone* can edit, you're more than welcome to make any edit that you feel improves the page, suggested or not. In this case, your proposals would very much be an improvement. Happy editing! In solidarity, nil [nz] 12:08, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Is it possible to move the *Quotes* section to Wikiquote? Versions111 (talk • contribs) 12:58, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Perhaps. The subject does not yet have a Wikiquote page, so we would have to create/request one. To be honest, I am not sure that I am comfortable with that - working on this page, I got the sense that it existed to promote the subject rather than inform the reader (reworking the citations mentioned above, I found that his personal website links to his Wikipedia page - https://cobbconsulting.co.uk/) and I'm not sure that I want that to be my first contribution to Wikiquote. Mothprooffiend (talk) 13:31, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Need help formatting a COI band edit request).

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Discussion now at Talk:Devilish Presley. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 15:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello! I am a member of the band Devilish Presley and have an open COI edit request on our article's Talk page to expand and change various sections.I have gathered a lot of strong, independent reliable sources (including BBC Nottingham, Vive Le Rock, and published books), but I am completely overwhelmed by the Wikipedia code and formatting rules required to process the request.Could a friendly volunteer please take a look at the "COI edit request" section on Talk:Devilish Presley and help me format or implement it correctly? Thank you! DevilishPresleyHimself (talk) 13:07, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> I have replied on Talk:Devilish Presley. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 14:08, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Old Dashboard Rollback

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

How do I access the old dashboard for reviewing other users' edits? I had some good filters in there. SpyCoder77Alt (talk) 13:19, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> If you are refering to the user contributions panel, on the user profile of the person whom you wish to review their edits, you can click the button with the little person and three lines on mobile, or click the three dots near the top right of the screen and click user contributions under general on desktop. Mikeycdiamond (talk) 13:42, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

archive.org

Latest comment: 22 hours ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

> Why exactly is archive.org no longer, and should editors outright remove archive links there if we see them articles?

Asking in no way to imply Wikipedia got it wrong or anything, purely trying to find out what happened/what was found out about archive.org? LincolnMagnus (talk) 15:23, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> I can't make sense of this question. Are you perhaps referring to the fact that archive.**today** is deprecated? Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 15:28, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Yes I did (and now im annoyed at myself for making such a major mistake) LincolnMagnus (talk) 19:28, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> You're thinking of archive.today etc., not archive.org, see Wikipedia:Archive.today guidance. TLDR they run malware on your computer if you go to their website without an adblocker. Best course of action would be to replace with archive.org. **;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 15:30, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > yes I did mean that, thank you for linking that guidance page LincolnMagnus (talk) 19:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Draft:Daniel Knowlton (American Revolution soldier)

Latest comment: 10 hours ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Dear editors,

Today, before I created my account, I was looking a drafts when I saw Daniel Knowltons draft.

The person trying to create it seems to be struggling to edit it and cite sources. Since I'm not a editor, I came here to ask if anyone would like to edit it or help to edit it.

Thanks for reading! ~That-One-Random-Fandom~ (talk) 15:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello, @~That-One-Random-Fandom~, and welcome to the Teahouse.
>
> Thank you for wanting to help, but I see that @Lily Weed, who has done most of the edits on that draft, has worked on it today, and can no doubt ask for help if they want it. (I have tagged them here, so they will see this). ColinFine (talk) 16:10, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Hello @ColinFine and @~That-One-Random-Fandom~. Thank you for your concerns. I would like you to know that I may need some help but mostly with sources. I have found three great sources and am looking to find more if possible and would like help with that if anyone would like to. Lily Weed (talk) 16:40, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Hello, @Lily Weed.
> > >
> > > It's possible that somebody may respond to your request, but you're possibly more likely to find somebody who will if you ask at WT:WikiProject Military history. ColinFine (talk) 07:18, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

WikiProject: Gambling, photo assistance requested.

Latest comment: 15 hours ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

Category:Wikipedia requested photographs of gambling (WikiProject: Gambling is inactive, hence posting on teahouse) Nearly 1 in 20 of the articles labelled requested photographs fall under the umbrella of gambling. Most of these articles do in fact have images, and whilst this is a common problem with articles labelled req photo/image, the amount of ones labelled gambling is disproportionate, suggesting a large scale error. This is a significant undertaking all by my help, so it'd be great if willing editors could join in with the clean-up of this section (and, in fact, all req photo/image articles that are labelled incorrectly, there's a significant number) Edlectro28 (talk) 15:46, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Vegaswikian added the photo request back in 2009. Perhaps no one updated the "needs-picture=yes" for 17 years. Graeme Bartlett (talk) 22:34, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Yes I suspected something like this had happened. Unfortunately, I'm now left with the time consuming task of cleaning up this category all by myself, hence why I'm asking for some assistance to speed up the process. Edlectro28 (talk) 22:37, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Maybe you could put a post up at WP:Bot requests? It seems like the perfect sort of maintenance task an automated script could perform (so much so that I'm surprised there's not already a bot doing so). In solidarity, nil nz 00:09, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > There is a bot that detects articles labelled as requested image that have images, but not for photographs which is very odd. Good thinking though, I'll inquire Edlectro28 (talk) 00:17, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > Well some articles do not need a photo at all, so these can be detagged even when there is no photo. I suggest you use the project talk page to coordinate your effort with anyone else, otherwise it is pointless for several people to check the same pages. eg Someone could check A-C, and another D-G. Graeme Bartlett (talk) 03:05, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Help pls

Latest comment: 17 hours ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hi so I’m wondering if I can undo or hide a edit on “My impact” I made a small grammar change on a really big article and I just wanna see my articles that I wrote Badg3rbr0z94 (talk) 18:48, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Badg3rbr0z94: You can see your edits here: Special:Contributions/Badg3rbr0z94. If you click "diff" you can see what you changed, and there will be an "undo" link towards the top right. You can click that if you want to attempt to reverse your edit. Administrators can hide edits if they are serious problems, like attacks, grossly offensive, or copyright violations, see WP:Revision deletion. Graeme Bartlett (talk) 22:25, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Does it say undo or like what does it say? Badg3rbr0z94 (talk) 23:17, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

After you click on "diff", you'll be able to see some editing that has your name on it, and a link or button near it that says "Undo". TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 00:43, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Talk Page Ettiquette

Latest comment: 22 hours ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

tl;dr & copyvio, see explanation in replies

-- Egocurious (talk) 19:00, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi @Egocurious. Your question has no context and I am not even sure it is related to Wikipedia. Please could you clarify what you mean? We also don't use hashtags on Wikipedia, so I have no idea why you've included them. **qcne** (talk) 19:03, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

It seems they want to know why adding an xkcd transcript to the article on instrumental convergence is not allowed (although that edit is not in their contributions). Simply put, @Egocurious, whatever thoughts you have about the similarities between an xkcd comic and the subject of the article are not for an encyclopedia, which simply summarizes what reliable sources think on the matter. *In solidarity,* ΩmegaMantis (he/him) blather | spy on me 19:08, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Okay @Egocurious, I've had to actually put your text through an large-language model in order to decipher what you are trying to say, @Egocurious. You haven't actually added the xkcd image to Instrumental convergence and had it reverted. The sequence under "Question" is a counterfactual example of an interaction you're concerned might happen?

If a user reverted to you and responded to you in the way you've hypothesised, it would be rude. We expect all editors to remain civil and assume good faith.

But since that scenario hasn't actually happened here I don't think we can really draw any conclusions about how editors might or might not actually respond.

You absolutely do not need to understand every Wikipedia policy before contributing. A really good place to start to learn an overview of our policies is WP:Everything you need to know.

I will say: we expect editors to be able to communicate with each other clearly. I had a lot of difficulty following your question and understanding what you meant. I would recommend keeping any further questions concise and to the point. And please don't use hashtags. **qcne** (talk) 19:17, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

The Five Nights at Freddy's 3 Movie

Latest comment: 13 hours ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

Why is it that info on the fnaf 3 movie isn't being added (especially on Five Nights at Freddy's 2 (film)) even if the film hasn't been announced by blumhouse and filming hasn't begun? ~2026-47009-73 (talk) 20:25, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi there. Because no volunteer editor has gotten around to creating an article yet. **qcne** (talk) 20:26, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Confuses me considering that editors added info on the fnaf 2 movie being rumoured to be in the works from what i checked on https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Five_Nights_at_Freddy%27s_2_(film)&action=history&dir=prev&limit=500 and https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Five_Nights_at_Freddy%27s_(film)&oldid=1216166336 in the “future” section for the latter ~2026-47009-73 (talk) 20:33, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

There are many different people who edit Wikipedia and they all have their own schedules, motivations, interests in editing, so stuff may be added inconsistently or surprisingly. I know I was quite surprised that there wasn't an article on the Celebrity death rule of threes, so I made one. You're welcome to do the same and add info on FNAF 3 if it is notable and well sourced. *In solidarity,* ΩmegaMantis (he/him) blather | spy on me 01:57, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

That "Rule of threes" has the short description "Superstition that celebrities die in triads". I didn't add "Except jazz players, who die in minor ninth chords". But I wanted to. :) TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 05:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

How to use |ref= with {{sfn}}? [SOLVED]

Latest comment: 13 hours ago | 2 comments | 1 person in discussion

At Russian orthography#Capitalisation I added an {{sfn}} reference and then the full {{cite book}} template in sources section. Since the referenced book has T. Wade as the main author of the original edition and three later co-authors who have contributed to the text, I figured it would be easier to just write Wade's name in {{sfn}} template and then add it as |ref= value in {{cite book}}. I have already used this solution on hr.wiki. But on en.wiki it evidently doesn't work, the sfn doesn't lead to the full {{cite book}}. How to solve this? (Other than typing out the three other authors' names in sfn as well, of course, which is an acceptable though IMO clumsy alternative solution.) — Phazd (talk|contribs) 21:53, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Figured it out, the |ref= has to be filled out in the format {{SfnRef|Title|1999}}. Should've read the help page more carefully. — Phazd (talk|contribs) 04:56, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

My friend needs help

Latest comment: 15 hours ago | 7 comments | 4 people in discussion

Previous discussion Wikipedia:Teahouse/Questions/Archive 1302#Dear Wikipedians

Dear Wikipedians. I have to voice my concern about my friend who used to write on Wikipedia, especially Icelandic one but he got permanently banned one year ago. My friend is autistic and sometimes he struggle with several things. He is a smart guy and he knows alot of things but sometimes he have hard time deciding what is notable enough for Wikipedia. I am afraid that the Icelandic administrators blocked him when he needed them the most and he deserve more

understanding. He frequently asked the administrators for help but they always pushed him away instead of simply helping him. My friend studies at university and his professors always find solutions to his problems when he ask them to do. Also my friend often ask his professors alot of questions about anything and they always answer him with dignity and professionalism. Is there anyone who can help him to be a better Wikipedian, He understand why he is permanently blocked and he doesn´t want to be blocked on Wikipedia for the rest of his life. I think instead of blocking him the administrators should be there for him like his professors are. When you work as professors there are lot of students who need your help with anything and it is your responsibility to find solutions that benefits them. When you are administrator on Wikipedia you have responsibility towards other users and they deserve your help when they need it. ~2026-47003-34 (talk) 00:51, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> I believe this question was asked a few weeks ago. There are ways to be unblocked, such as WP:Standard offer. But having a friend repeatedly appeal for you on the Teahouse is not the way. SomeoneDreaming (talk) 01:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> This is English Wikipedia, and there are few, if any people here who can do anything about something going on in Icelandic Wikipedia. In any case, no details whatsoever are provided, so it's hard to even provide any advice. We try to help people along in English Wikipedia when they're struggling, but at the same time, an editor at Wikipedia is *not* in anything near the same situation as a teacher is. The job of a teacher partially includes the responsibility for finding solutions in education people. This is a volunteer project, where we build an encyclopedia, and though we'll try to be kind whenever possible, the type of responsibility you describe is not part of anyone's responsibility here.
>
> The answers aren't going to be any different than the last time you asked this. [19]. Without any specifics, there's really not much anyone can offer you but very general advice. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 01:03, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I know my friend is able to do a good job when he gets the advice and guidance he needs. He is doing well at the university but there are some things at Wikipedia he have hard time to understand. But don´t worry, if you give him some good advice he will be able to use them.
> >
> > Like Alfred Molina used to say: Intelligence is not a privilege it´s a gift, and you use it for the good of mankind. ~2026-47003-34 (talk) 01:09, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Assuming it's the editor I think it is, I don't think he's a good fit here. He wasn't having trouble following some arcane rule or having technical issues on a complex issue, he was evading blocks, ignoring instructions to stop creating sockpuppet accounts to evade those blocks, and repeatedly not telling the truth.
> > >
> > > If this editor is your friend, a much better way to support your friend would be to help them find a project that's suitable for them, not repeatedly making pleas of this nature regarding Wikipedia. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 01:20, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > Sometimes, mankind says "Please stop what you're doing, it isn't helping". In those cases, using intelligence for the good of mankind means to listen to them, and actually stop. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 02:39, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Looking at the history of the OP, this might be related to the editor Bjornkarateboy. This editor is globally locked due to persistent sockpuppetry. Given the sockpuppet investigation from here, if this is the editor in question, I'm not sure they're a good fit for Wikipedia. We have many people who are neurodivergent as well, and we try to help, but there are limits, and if someone repeatedly abuses the rules of this project, then they have to be blocked. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 01:10, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Help with a page tagged with LLM

Latest comment: 4 hours ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Tag has been removed. Discussion continues elsewhere. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 14:10, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello all, I feel so weird posting here as I'm a very old editor, but a biography page that I recently posted was flagged as including **{{**LLM**}}** and I absolutely didn't use any ai assistance to write the page. I've written back to the editor who flagged the page but I don't know what else to do. The page is Renee Hunter, and you can see all of the editing history in my sandbox if that helps. Thanks so much. H0n0r (talk) 02:33, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @H0n0r: Welcome to The Teahouse. It seems you've done the right thing so far with replying to the person; only thing to do is to wait for them respond to you. —Tenryuu (•) 02:38, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you @Tenryuu. It appears the user has stepped away from editing for the day. Is there not a place to appeal this sort of thing? It feels awful to be accused of, essentially, plagiarism. Thanks again, H0n0r (talk) 02:48, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @H0n0r: So far it hasn't made its way to a noticeboard, so it's best to talk it out on your user talk page when they reply. It's a crummy feeling to be accused, but there's no expectation or obligation for editors to reply within the day. — Tenryuu (•) 03:45, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

to add a office in Mangala Kisan

Latest comment: 13 hours ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

Sock

Advice before recreating Draft:Kreflux after G11 deletion

Latest comment: 8 hours ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hi. I'm looking for some advice before recreating Draft:Kreflux.

Draft:Kreflux was previously deleted on 21 August 2026 under CSD G11 (unambiguous advertising or promotion). I don't want to recreate the promotional version; I'm trying to determine whether a completely new, neutral AfC draft would currently be appropriate.

The independent coverage I have found so far is:

- Ian Oneill, "Kreflux and the Fluko Models: A Look at the Independent AI Lab Shipping in the Browser," published on Medium
- Ian Oneill, "Qwen Started Thinking Weirdly Like Gemini," published on Medium, which discusses research conducted by Kreflux

There are also primary sources including Kreflux's official website/documentation, npm package information, and Hugging Face organization, but I understand that these cannot establish notability by themselves.

My questions are:

1. Does the existing independent coverage appear sufficient for WP:NCORP/WP:GNG, or should I wait for additional independent sources?
2. Since the prior draft was deleted under G11 rather than after an AfD discussion, is creating a completely new neutral draft through Articles for Creation an appropriate route?
3. Is there anything else I should do before recreating Draft:Kreflux?

I'm specifically looking for a source/notability assessment rather than trying to overturn the previous G11 deletion.

Thanks. Usercre8tor (talk) 04:07, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> Are you convinced that you can ignore all the facts and only parrot what third parties have published - even if those third parties got it wrong? TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 04:46, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> The second item by Ian Oneill is not about Kreflux, it's about Qwen. Unless there's a major interruption where he tells a long story all about his opinions of Kreflux, then that one doesn't help much. Being a service used by someone who's really in the story, does not mean the story is about you. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 06:40, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Medium is a WP:SPS, these generally are not reliable sources and cannot be used to establish notability unless the author is a known expert in the field who has been published in independent reliable sources. **purring maggot** (talk) 06:48, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Please don't use AI to talk to other editors. Athanelar (talk) 09:24, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Mirador (band)

Latest comment: 4 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

The page for the band mirador lists their album and the singles released. I have the album and thought it would be nice to link album title to a new page with the album tracks and credits. 1) I do not know how to create a page for the album 2) I do not know how to link the album title to this page As a

fairly new editor/contributor I wouldn't wany to try and add the album cover artwork to stay clear of copyright issues and I also don't want to overstep GormScotti (talk) 04:27, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> If the album hasn't made big news by itself, then there should not be a separate page for it. But your material may be suitable for adding to the band's page. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 04:48, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> See WP:NALBUM for guidance on whether or not the album qualifies for an article. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 14:07, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

To recover old accounts

Latest comment: 8 hours ago | 8 comments | 4 people in discussion

How to recover my old accounts which i have changed thier email id but i forget email id So how i wil get them, please help me My Email id is = ~~*(Redacted)*~~ My old accounts are User:Rajivbhatia; User:Vvhhsd25. Josephvijay890 (talk) 05:29, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Josephvijay890: Welcome to The Teahouse. If those really are your old accounts, kindly note that blocks apply to the person operating them, not the accounts themselves; you will be blocked for sockpuppetry. —Tenryuu (•) 05:42, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > so please permantly delete those accounts quickly, I don't want those.
> >
> > Please request 🙏🙏🙏🙏 Josephvijay890 (talk) 05:46, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @Josephvijay890: That's not how it works. Accounts can't be deleted for attribution reasons. You need to appeal a block from whichever account is the original, as this one is very likely to be blocked soon. Hopefully you have access to the old email address you used. —Tenryuu (•) 05:51, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > I did not understand your word this 👉 As this one is very likely to blocked soon. Josephvijay890 (talk) 05:55, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > @Josephvijay890 I've hidden the email address you posted, to protect your privacy and hopefully prevent phishing. I think Tenryuu thinks this account might get blocked because creating a new account after being blocked is not allowed. Please read the Guide to appealing blocks that has already been given to you. —ClaudineChionh (*she/her* · talk · email) 06:07, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > >
> > > > > > thanks for hiding my email id from others Josephvijay890 (talk) 06:08, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Claudine, WP:OSPOL1, Cabayi (talk) 09:29, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Change language for reading

Latest comment: 4 hours ago | 6 comments | 5 people in discussion

I would preferably read in spanisch some articles. How could I change just for some articles the language. Angiuara (talk) 08:59, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

There is a Spanish-language version of Wikipedia at es.wikipedia.org (https://es.wikipedia.org). Athanelar (talk) 09:06, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

There is, as Athanelar pointed out, a Spanish edition of Wikipedia. But it's not exactly the same as the English Wikipedia. *Cada Wikipedia tiene artículos que no tiene la otra **Y** no tiene todos los artículos que tiene la otra."*

I wonder if your question was perhaps about if there might be a way to get a Spanish translation of only certain articles you find in the English Wikipedia from time to time.

If that's what you want, then yes, there is. You would need a translation app like *Google Translate*. You would open it in another tab in your browser, then copy the Wikipedia article into it. Augnablik (talk) 11:40, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

As @Augnablik said, to translate specific articles you could also use DeepL Translate (https://deepl.com) which - when installed as a browser extension - translates pages to the language of your choice. GIOVANNI GUASCONTI (a word with me) 12:38, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

thankyou that is the point.I thought there's in Wikipedia in each language the possibility to see just that Artikel in an other language. Angiuara (talk) 12:53, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@Angiuara: The top right of articles have a "languages" link to see which other Wikipedia languages have an article about the same topic. It's usually not translations and most articles don't have a Spanish version but important topics generally do. PrimeHunter (talk) 13:31, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

How to flag an article for deletion discussion

Latest comment: 4 hours ago | 8 comments | 5 people in discussion

I was wondering how to bring an article to the attention of other editors to discuss deletion. Someone has already left a single comment on the talk page some time ago and I think they have a point.

Center for International Political Analysis

~2026-42636-56 (talk) 09:05, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

I've tagged it for speedy deletion as a hoax considering it's entirely unsourced and, as the talk page says, there's no sign such a thing actually exists at the University of Kansas. Athanelar (talk) 09:22, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

If it is a hoax then, according to WP:HOAXLIST, at over 21 years old, it would be our longest lasting hoax. Spiderone(Talk to Spider) 09:26, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

It's not a hoax. https://web.archive.org/web/20010407091152/http://www.ku.edu/pri/ab_ippbr/pri.shtml Cabayi (talk) 09:47, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Good find. Well, then it at least no longer exists *anymore,* and there doesn't seem to be enough sources to substantiate an article about it as an item of historical interest, so a PROD is probably the way to go. Athanelar (talk) 10:13, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Yup, there's a definite lack of WP:ORG there. Cabayi (talk) 10:19, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks for these replies (everyone). ~2026-42636-56 (talk) 13:24, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

I've WP:PRODed it. If nobody objects, it will be deleted in a week. ;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH) 13:30, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Article Edited - request for independent review

Latest comment: 53 minutes ago | 22 comments | 9 people in discussion

Hi,

I'm the subject of this article 'Joshua Chiang' (Conflict of interest already declared).

When I first submitted the article in April for approval, I was unaware that the rules against AI-assisted writing had come into effect just a month earlier. Unfortunately, I had put my draft through ChatGPT for checking specifically for neutrality and accuracy, and the AI had been too helpful in generating the draft into a format that can simply be copied and pasted into the draft page. However, in doing so, it created several citation errors that I wasn't aware of. The article was published in June.

I have just rewritten the article paragraph by paragraph, reinserting the citations without the use of AI.

It currently has an Ai-related tag. Rather than removing it myself, I'd like independent editors to review the revised article and determine whether the concerns behind the tag have been sufficiently addressed. Please feel free to revise any passages that do not meet Wikipedia's standards for neutrality.

Thanks! Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 09:27, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

So the AI tool save you time but wastes everybody else's time. I'll take a pass on this. Cabayi (talk) 09:45, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

You should make a new edit request on the talk page. 331dot (talk) 09:46, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Just to reiterate – I had already written substantial portions of the initial draft myself and had the links to citations ready to insert into the draft. I ran it through ChatGPT specifically to check for accuracy, neutrality and format.I was a) unaware at the time that while superficially resembling my original draft, the format generated by ChatGPT contained citation errors and b) the policy against AI-assisted writing had come into effect. I did not ask it to write something for me from scratch. It took me far more time to revise the article than creating the initial draft, so yes, the AI tool also wasted my time. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 10:13, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@Joshua Chiang (artist) Considering you used AI to type this very question and considering that your edit summaries are also obviously AI generated, I somehow struggle to believe you've avoided its use entirely in your article prose. In any case, as the subject of the article you should not be editing it directly now that it's live and should be making WP:Edit requests. Athanelar (talk) 10:16, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Sorry, I do need to push back on this particular assertion. I had been transparent so far on the conflict of interest and on why I had used AI. But I think it's an unfair mischaracterisation that I had continued to use AI for the current article – retyped from scratch – and even to type this question. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 10:34, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Athanelar I would appreciate if you reverted the article back to the original Ai-assisted version to point it out here because anyone who is going to check the article now would assume it is the one I claimed to have been revised and then arrived at the incorrect conclusion that I had used AI my revision. If I had, why would I asked editors to check to begin with? Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 11:46, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Sorry, I meant 'if you HAD reverted the article' and 'that I had used AI IN my revision'. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 11:50, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Hi @Joshua Chiang (artist). It unfortunately is looking likely the article will be deleted. The acceptance through AfC was an error by the reviewer. **qcne** (talk) 11:52, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Hi @Qcne,
> > >
> > > I understand that the article would be deleted. I would like to point out that the reason why several of the articles converge on that one particular exhibition is because while I had quietly built a name for myself in the small arts community in Cambodia, it was the first major exhibition featuring only my works, and given the size of the arts community, it is bound to attract coverage from the few English news websites in Cambodia. If the editors consider that the milestones mentioned do not meet the criteria for notability, that's fine, but I take issue with the characterisation that they are "of dubious independence because it extensively relies on interviews with Chiang and all conspicuously namedrops his at-the-time-upcoming exhibition ". I do not have editorial control over the contents of the three articles mentioned in the citation.
> > >
> > > In the rewrite, I referred to several Wikipedia biographies for style and structure. If they are riddled with WP:AISIGNS as alleged, @Athanelar I would ask them to point out which sentences raised the red flag and if they could rewrite said sentences in a manner that does not suggest they are AI-assisted.
> > >
> > > I had asked for a review of said article in good faith specifically because I want independent objective eyes to look through it, and I had been very transparent about the COI and the fact that the first version was AI-assisted (as I was unaware that you can't even use AI for formatting and checking and accept their suggestions for edits). Instead I had been met with unfounded allegations. I can accept the deletion graciously, but not the allegations. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 12:37, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > **Please don't use AI to communicate with us.** We take the time to reply to you as a human, not to a machine.
> > > >
> > > > AI assisted drafts or articles are typically problematic because LLMs don't understand the formatting, citations, and rules that apply on-wiki (as much as they profess to). Typically even rewriting the entire draft may not fix it. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 12:53, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

I'm literally sitting at my desk skipping dinner and addressing these allegations by typing one word at a time. It is fair criticism that I ought to have checked the rules governing AI use prior to publishing, as I had believed that if the article were factually true (they were), human-written initially (they were), and running the article through AI like how one would use the correction tools in Word, would be legit. I was wrong clearly. I took the time to rewrite the article, following advice from an editor in a Teahouse discussion weeks ago. If the article still doesn't pass the smell test, fine, delete it. But do not allege I did something when I had been exercising due dilgence, otherwise I would not even post the question to begin with. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 13:03, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@Athanelar, I'm not sure that the question here is fully AI-generated. There are a few typos and formatting mistakes which AI rarely makes. The article on the other hand definitely shows signs of LLM use. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 12:33, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@Giovanni Guasconti, I retyped every word. I retained the sentences from previous drafts if they flow well. In the course of the retyping, the AI-assistant pops up at times to address typos, punctuation and grammatical mistakes, and I let it correct them for me as I would if typing on a text document. Is that the reason why there are still signs of LLM use? If so, I'm unaware that you can't let the AI-assistant on Chrome/Goggle fix those mistakes. Otherwise I closely followed the style of several Wiki biographies I used as references. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 12:42, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

You should not be using an AI-assistant at all. Theroadislong (talk) 12:49, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Sorry, I mean Quillbot. Like, if there were typos etc, the word gets underlined, and it suggests correction. That's not allowed too? Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 12:54, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

That's really a grey zone. As long as you use it to fix typos but it's not actually generating sentences, all good. If you use it to rebuild phrasing, probably not all good. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 12:56, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Anyways, technically, use of AI to fix a specific sentence with strict oversight is allowed. What isn't allowed is using AI to do anything *without* proper oversight. Am I right @Athanelar? Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 12:59, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@Giovanni Guasconti It's a grey area, and the answer is that it's better to err on the side of caution; you can see what I wrote on the matter at WP:AICOPYEDIT. Athanelar (talk) 17:17, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks for coming to the Teahouse.

Your article would unfortunately (likely) be deleted because it was an error by the reviewer.

It is not notable, alleged AI use, and COI concerns. Please do not remake the article until it becomes notable as per our guidlines.

As per the AI question controversy eating up this discussion, it appears that we have already made a warning. Furthermore, the evidence of AI is inconclusive. We can understand @Joshua Chiang (artist)'s statements, so we can stop the allegations.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

16dvnk (talk) 14:53, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> Thank you for giving me the benefit of doubt. I'm fine with the deletion of the article. I'd rather have it taken down than have the allegations against me exist alongside with it, especially given everything I had done to ensure compliance. Believe me when I say I spent many hours revising it, fact-checking etc, and it's deeply unpleasant to be met with many of these responses. My indignation is a very HUMAN response. Joshua Chiang (artist) (talk) 15:06, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Using AI and then fixing up the result is a lot like this: Someone goes into a restaurant and asks for noodles with no sauce. The cook puts sauce on the noodles, then remembers, and uses a spoon to remove some of the sauce before serving. When the person says "I asked for no sauce", the cook protests "Come on, I already removed it", but the person insists, so the cook takes the dish and carefully wipes every noodle with a towel. At this point in the story, everyone is wondering why the cook doesn't just boil some new noodles - it would be faster, easier, and better. All those hours of revising and fact-checking could have been cut out by doing a simple restart from a blank page and no AI, so saying "But I did all those hours of hard work!" doesn't really make sense. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 16:27, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

What to do with this article?

Latest comment: 4 hours ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello! I recently came across this article. Should it be deleted? I dont know what to do with such articles. Please guide. Thanks! Prajiiit The Panda (talk) 12:41, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Prajiiit: Welcome to The Teahouse. It looks like you came across a really old article that most likely wouldn't meet Wikipedia's standards for an article today (looks like it was created back in 2006). You *could* nominate it for deletion at Wikipedia:Articles for deletion, but I'd make sure that it is appropriate to nominate, especially with point D—it might be wikinotable but not have any reliable sources currently being used. —Tenryuu (•) 13:30, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > @Tenryuu Sure. Thank you so much. I would be really grateful if you nominate it for deletion, since I am yet to learn how to nominate AfD's.
> >
> > Thank you!
> >
> > Prajiiit The Panda (talk) 13:54, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > I would recommend enabling the Twinkle tool. It makes many tasks easier, including automating the technical steps for AfD. `;;` **Maddy from Celeste** `(WAVEDASH)` 14:05, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > Okay!
> > > >
> > > > Thanks @Maddy from Celeste
> > > >
> > > > Prajiiit The Panda (talk) Prajiiit The Panda (talk) 14:09, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Potentially disruptive edits at List of foreign Premier League players

Latest comment: 3 hours ago | 5 comments | 1 person in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Closed as misunderstanding. - 16dvnk (talk) 14:37, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

List of foreign Premier League players

I was on PendingChanges when I stumbled accross List of foreign Premier League players. In the edit history (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_foreign_Premier_League_players&action=history), I found that editor AKeZZZ (talk • contribs) and Rob2312 (talk • contribs) were persistent and were adding seemingly random edits adding random players onto the list every few minutes. They were also active at the same rough time zone.

I gave a disruptive level 3 user warn to Rob2312 (talk • contribs) because I thought it may be disruptive. Looking at their history, they persistently vandalized articles with the same field, so I jumped straight to level 3. I am unsure if this was appropriate though.

Both editors like editing in the same area, all with the same exact pattern. However, I wouldn't classify them as "inhuman".

They also do not add references, and the references cited for List of foreign Premier League players led back to the diff they just made.

How do we handle these situations? Please correct me if I had accidentally made an error.

Thank you, and I apologize for the inconvinicence.

Sincerely,

16dvnk (talk) 13:52, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Let me clarify some minor things.

- I do **not** *(corrected typo) --16dvnk (talk) 14:19, 30 August 2026 (UTC))* accuse them of sockpuppetry since I have not gotten conclusive evidence.
- ~~Their sources may be in violation of WP:CIRCULAR.~~
- It appears that the looping issue was due to some bug. With a different browser it points to the footnote. Weird. 16dvnk (talk) 14:26, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
- I have to admit that my scan was not very detailed.

Thanks.

Sincerely,
16dvnk (talk) 14:16, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Band membership lists citing deaths that happened after departing the bands?

Latest comment: 52 minutes ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

I've noticed that a number of band articles' lists of former members include mention of when some members died, even when those deaths had nothing to do with the time they were in the bands. Examples:

- Pat Metheny Group#Past members
- List of Toto band members#Former members
- Jefferson Airplane#Members
- Jethro Tull (band)#Previous musicians

Is this useless noise and should be removed? Or should the death dates be preserved (and, presumably, others added)? -- Dan Griscom (talk) 14:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> I've had the same experience several times. My reaction has been Wikipedia:NOTMEMORIAL, and to therefore eliminate mention of those deaths. To me it "would be nice" to just never permit death dates in band lists, leaving only deaths that are part of the story and therefore already discussed in the running text - but that's probably me asking for too much. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 14:31, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > (Despite a protest I once got, I do think adding death dates of *former* members to a band article is a clear case of using Wikipedia as a memorial - even if the intention was only "completeness" of the article. It's a false kind of "completeness" to keep adding things about someone who is no longer involved, unless the article already says "after he left the band they continued to ask his musical and technical advice, and always considered him an honorary member" or that type of thing.) TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 14:49, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Although I generally agree with you, I'm not sure Wikipedia:NOTMEMORIAL is the right basis for removing the death dates. Perhaps just that the articles are about the bands, and these death dates (assuming the death didn't influence the bands) are irrelevant to visitors wishing to learn about the bands? -- Dan Griscom (talk) 17:01, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> I see reason not to mention death dates. Or are we running out of paper? All I see above is a bad case of IDONTLIKEIT. DuncanHill (talk) 17:18, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Editing

Latest comment: 11 minutes ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Low how do me edit article and is there a word limit. QuickMeat746 (talk) 17:25, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> Firstly, Help:Editing is a good tutorial for editing Wikipedia. Secondly, there isn't a strict word limit, but any article larger than 15,000 words should be split or trimmed per Wikipedia:TOOBIG. Mikeycdiamond (talk) 17:59, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Singapore and Malaysia

Latest comment: 19 minutes ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

What is the difference between malaysia and singapore! QuickMeat746 (talk) 17:28, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> Welcome to the Teahouse, QuickMeat746. The Teahouse is a place to ask questions about using and editing Wikipedia. Questions such as yours should be asked at Wikipedia:Reference desk, or you could just read our articles on Malaysia and Singapore. Cordless Larry (talk) 17:51, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Teahouse&oldid=1372165636"